

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL  
CONSEIL NATIONAL DE RECHERCHES  
DIVISION OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

MEMORANDUM:-

TO            Mr. M. Kuhring  
A

MICROWAVES VS. BIRDS - A NEW APPROACH TO  
THE BIRD HAZARD PROBLEM IN AVIATION

The problem of dispersing birds from the flight paths of present day high speed aircraft has reached serious proportions. Microwave radiation at certain intensities has been found to have a profound effect on bird behaviour. Experiments are being conducted to determine the physiological mechanisms involved with a view, ultimately, to designing the microwave field to have the greatest possible effect for the least expenditure of power. Some of the findings and their implications are as follows:

1. Birds exposed to pulsed X-band and Ku-band microwave fields in the "slightly thermal" range ( $20 - 50 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ ) respond with an escape or avoidance reaction within a few seconds of the onset of radiation. Birds tested were chickens (Old English Games, Leghorns) pigeons and seagulls (Ringbill).
2. Birds exposed to a CW microwave field of "slightly thermal" intensity registered no specific reaction until they had been exposed for several minutes. The same effect was observed for infra-red radiation.
3. Different reactions were obtained with pulsed microwaves depending on the part of the animal irradiated. When irradiated from above a clear distinction has been observed between the distal dorsal and tail regions of the bird, and the head, and the neck to proximal dorsal regions. Little or no reaction apparent when bird irradiated from below.

4. Tests on the microwave absorptive and shielding properties of feathers stripped from chickens, pigeons and gulls showed no sensible absorption of microwave energy.
5. Tests on intact chickens shielded so that only the tail feathers were exposed to the pulsed microwave field revealed an intense escape reaction.
6. Follow-up tests on the piezo-electric properties of chicken feathers revealed complex vibration modes of the quill when a feather is subjected to an alternating electric stress. (Paper forward for publication)
7. Tests to determine the interaction of a microwave field with the vestibulocochlear apparatus of a chicken were conducted by systematically sectioning the appropriate cranial nerves. The results suggested that although interference does occur it is not a major contributing factor.
8. Tests conducted on the threshold and velocity characteristics of isolated sciatic nerve (*Rana catesbeiana*) exposed to pulsed and CW microwave fields revealed no sensible change over a period of minutes. (This finding has been corroborated by other investigators). The implication is that microwaves do not interact with the axonal processes of peripheral nerves. However, an investigator in Japan has very recently shown that the firing patterns of intact peripheral nerves can be influenced by X-band radiation.
9. Tests to determine the changes in feeding behaviour that can be effected by radiating their feed container revealed that chickens quickly learn to avoid a radiated area. There was also strong evidence to suggest that the birds became conditioned to stay away from that area even when the microwave field is removed for a period of days. (Paper forward for publication).

Present research is concentrated on a systematic exploration

of Nos 4 - 9 over a wider range of microwave frequencies, pulse repetition rates, pulse widths etc. This work is being conducted in close collaboration with the Department of Anatomy of Queen's University.



J.A. Tanner  
Control Systems Laboratory  
May 30, 1968.

*Received from Author, Ref. H6, No. 1120, N. 1134, only  
December 16, 1967*

## Non-thermal Effects of Microwave Radiation on Birds

Microwave radiation produces both thermal and non-thermal effects in biological systems.<sup>1</sup> The thermal effect is manifested as a rise in temperature of the irradiated system and is accompanied by physiological responses depending on the intensity and duration of the field. Non-thermal effects are manifested as changes in cellular metabolism caused by both resonance absorption and induced EMF's and, when neural structures are involved, are often accompanied by a specific behavioral response. An important difference between thermal and non-thermal effects is in the matter of time scale. Chickens exposed to a "slightly thermal" microwave field (20-50 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) respond with an escape or avoidance reaction within a few seconds of the onset of radiation.

The rapidity and compelling nature of this reaction led us to investigate its use in relation to the bird strike problem which is now reaching serious proportions in air traffic operations. Experiments are being conducted to determine the effectiveness of microwave radiation as a means of deterring birds from feeding in the vicinity of airports runways and of dispersing birds from commercial flight lanes.

The physiological correlate of this behavioral pattern has been studied to develop the escape reaction further and to determine the most effective form of microwave field. Experiments were conducted on three species of chicken using a 0.3 GHz microwave generator pulsed at 416 pps with a pulse width of 2.0  $\mu$ sec. Peak power into the horn antenna is 54 kW and the average power is corresponding 70 W. The field intensity in the calibrated test range at a level 5 m above the floor is set at 46 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (average value).

The general pattern of behavior of both young and fully grown chickens in the test cage is as follows. At the onset of radiation the wing outside the field of radiation becomes collapsed and the opposite wing is extended. A similar phenomenon is observed with the legs. The chicken turns their heads so that the eye closest to the field of radiation is oriented to the field and the sagittal axis of the head is kept in line with the appropriate axis of the body. The bird turns down to the outside of the field following this axis of the body. It is apparent that in this turning reaction the outer side of the animal is paralyzed and on reaching the floor of the cage the reaction is manifested by increased extension reaction of the inner (field) side and the head is turned to face the centre of the field.

On occasions this pattern of behaviour has not occurred and the following observations have been made. It seems that some animals present a hyperactive side. When these animals are radiated with the weak side facing the centre of the field they rapidly orient themselves to present the strong or hyperactive side to the field. The previously described behavioural pattern then ensues. Some birds are excited at  $\alpha$  before the time of radiation because of outside influences, for example, handling, change of cage. These animals continue to be excited and at the onset of radiation move along the field so that one side of the head and body will be alternating outside and inside the field. Chickens in these conditions either reach a tensed state in the experiment by remaining quiet for a few seconds and then collapsing to the corresponding side, or in their agitation migrate right.

During all these experimental procedures we have observed that different reactions can be obtained from a bird depending on which surface is irradiated. Very little or no reaction is detected if the bird is irradiated from below, thereby affecting its ventral surface. When irradiated from above, however, a clear distinction has been observed between the distal dorsal and tail regions of the animal and the head, and the neck to proximal dorsal regions. In the first case an excitatory effect is obtained which can lead to the animal changing position or flying. The second case usually ends in a collapsing action as previously described.

J. A. TANNER  
P. ROMERO-SERRHA  
S. J. DALEY

Control Systems Laboratory,  
Division of Mechanical Engineering,  
National Research Council,  
Ottawa, Canada

Received October 25, 1967

<sup>1</sup>Oliver, C. M., Drake, C. L., and Dungey, R. L., *J. Mammalian Power*, 1 (1966).  
<sup>2</sup>*Scientific Research on Neural Effects of Microwaves*, Birmingham Tech. Instn.,  
Lth. of Congress, AT&T Rep. 68-135.  
<sup>3</sup>Tanner, J. A., *Nature*, 210, 688 (1966).